G.R.S. IX—5]

Previous references. 1922-23 **File No.** 49/22

P. P. 28-22-1
Edn. 44-16-117, 160, 154 (C. B.) **Serial Nos.** 346.
Edn. 8-17-2, 3, 10, 22, 25, 26, 27, 161.
Edn. 3-18-30, 86, 487.

Later references.
CB. 138-23-1809 (Compl)

P.

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 346

Part B for January 1923

SUBJECT

Personal file of Mr. J. C. Rollo, Prof. of English, Maharaja's College, Mysore.

To

The Registrar, Mysore University,
No. 4073 Dy 11-1-1923

States that Mr. Rollo's attention may be invited to the letter sent to him at the time of his appointment in Sept. 1917 by the then Vice-Chancellor under the approval of Government that his relative position will depend not on his pay but on the date of his ~~[illegible]~~joining.

C.B. 1811

(1

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C.B. File No. 49 of 1922

Serial No.	
3	From The Registrar, Mysore university, No 5425 & 14.12.22 . Forwards for orders of Govt a representation from Mr. A.C. Rollo, Professor of English, Maharaja's College, Mysore, regarding his position in the university lists etc relative to other Professors. Mr. Rollo is drawing 1,000 Rs since 1st July 1921. Submitted with references. Omit Hm/ 21.12.22. Chiplady Mr Rollo's pay 3/1

Bm 24/12

H.D. Steno 5.1.23

(1) 3-18-45.

This question had come up for decision once before in 1919 when the matter was not finally settled. The connected papers are put up for reference. The late Vice-Chancellor Mr. H.V. Nanjundia was decidedly of opinion that professors in the Grade 500-50-1000 should rank according to the date of appointment to the grade irrespective of pay and action has all along been based on this principle in the gradation lists of the University

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(2

G. R. S. III-2]

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C. B. File No. 49 of 1922.

Serial No.

follow this arrangement.

Mr. Rollo has now raised the question for a decision. But an examination of the papers would shew that he has the least claim to do so. The Correspondence relating to Mr. Rollo's appointment shews that he was distinctly intimated as one of the terms of his appointment more than once that he would rank among professors not according to pay but only according to the date of appointment (Vide draft D.O. letter enclosed with flag N.) The G.O. sanctioning his appointment however stated that his comparative position would be determined by Government later on but Mr. Rollo does not refer to it. Nor can this be deemed to give Mr. Rollo a claim which was specifically denied to him as one of the conditions of his appointment.

The late Vice-Chancellor's note flag L seems to be the best solution of the question. The Civil lists and Gradation lists have all

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-21

(3)

1811.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

File No. 49 of 1922.

Serial No.

along followed the same principle Mr. Rollo's appointment also followed the same. His attention may be invited to the correspondence relating to his appointment which he seems to have either ignored or forgotten. Neither the V.C. nor the University Council have now offered (Chief Secy) any opinion. Yes 5/1.

If approved the Registrar will be asked to invite Mr Rollo's attention to the letter sent to him at the time of his appointment by the then V. C. under approval (Encl 8 & 9/N) in which he was definitely informed that his relative position will depend not on his pay, but on the seniority. Yes 8/1

Secy. Presume the then V.C. actually sent the letter. 6/1

Yes. 10/1

6/1

EXAMS OFFICE.
REC'D 8 JAN 1923
REG. NO. 4111

note & file

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

(4

G. R. S. III-21

General and Revenue Secretariat. | C · B · File No. 49 of 1922.

Serial No.	
4	To The Registrar, Mysore university, no 4073 & 11.1.23. States that Mr. Rollo's attention may be invited to the letter sent to him. at the time of his appointment in Sept^r 1917 by the then Vice Chancellor under the approval of Govt that his relative position will depend not on his pay but on the date of his joining.

B.

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

24934

CB-1811 (5

No. 5425/one

Office of the Mysore University,

Dated Mysore, ...December....16....192 2

D 2648

FROM

V. SUBRAHMANYA IYER, Esq., B.A.,
Registrar, Mysore University,
Mysore.

Govt. Rev. Secy. Ed CB 15 DEC 1922

CB 1511/22

C.B.49-22-3.

To

K.Matthan, Esq., B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government
of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore,
Bangalore.

Sir,

I am directed to enclose herewith for such orders as the Government may deem fit to pass on it, a copy of letter dated the 13th November 1922 received from Mr. J.C.Rollo, M.A., Professor of English, Maharaja's College, regarding his position relative to other Professors.

In this connection, I am to state the following facts:-

In Government order No.3250-1/Fdn.44-16 dated the 25th September 1917 sanctioning the appointment of Mr. Rollo as Professor of English on probation, Government directed that his position in the service with reference to that of other educational officers will be determined by Government later on. During September 1917, the then Vice-Chancellor endorsed in his note dated the 5th September 1917 that 'the relative rank of Professors already in service should not be prejudicially affected by new appointments merely because the new comers are given a higher start than at the lowest pay of the grade and

and that this has been specifically intimated to Mr. Rollo etc. A copy of this note was forwarded to Government in connection with the question of Dr. Mookerji's rank (vide this office letter No.1964 dated the 8th September 1917 to the Secretary to Government Departments of Education and Agriculture) and the question of setting the ranks of Mr. Rollo and Dr. Mookerji was submitted to Government once again in October 1917 in this office letter No.2552 dated the 3rd October 1917 to the Secretary to Government, Education and Agriculture, in reply to which letter No.8017/Edn. 8-17 dated the 12th February 1918 was received from him stating that the question will be considered after all the University Professorships are filled up. This question has not, as yet, been settled and the names of the Professors are now being shown in the University establishment schedules according to the date on which they got the grade irrespective of their pay.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Registrar.

(7

Letter dated the 13th November 1922 from Mr. J.C.Rollo, Professor, Maharaja's College, to the Registrar, Mysore University, Mysore.

Might I point out the anomalous position in which my name is found in all University lists indicating precedence, such as lists of members of the Senate or of the Faculty of Arts? Other Professors who draw a smaller salary and who, therefore, should come after me according to the Durbar rules (the only rules of which I am aware) are placed before me. I thus become the most junior of all the University Professors, and within the Maharaja's College I become fourth in rank instead of coming next to the Principal, with whom I am of equal standing as regards salary apart from allowances. I am aware that some time ago the University Council passed what seems to me the most unjust recommendation that seniority should depend upon date of appointment to grade irrespective of actual salary; but I have not heard that Government has approved this recommendation. Further, the recommendation was passed at a meeting held during the vacation; and I, for one, should have opposed it most vigorously, had I been able to attend. (I was at that time a member of the University Council).

Copy'

Registrar.

4093/ C.B. 49-22-4. (8

10th January 3.

V. Subramanya Iyer, Esq., B.A.,
Registrar, Mysore University.

With reference to your letter No. 5925 dated the 14th December 1922 forwarding a representation from Mr. J.C. Rollo, M.A., Professor of English, Maharaja's College, regarding his position relative to other Professors, I am directed to state that Mr. Rollo's attention may be invited to the letter sent to him at the time of his appointment by the then Vice-Chancellor under the approval of Govt. (Vide enclosure to Mr. D'Souza's D.O. letter No.3252/Edn.44-16 dated the 25th September 1917) in which he was definitely informed that his relative position will not depend on his pay but on the date of his joining. It is presumed that the letter approved by Govt. was actually sent to Mr. Rollo.

I have the honour to be,
Sir,
Your most obedient servant,

Chief Secretary to Government.